1	VIRGINIA RACING COMMISSION	
2	May 29, 2013	
3	10700 Horseman's Road	
4	New Kent, VA 23124	
5	Commencing at 10:06 a.m.	
6		
7		
8		
9	COMMISSION MEMBERS:	
10	Stuart Siegel, Chairman J. Sargeant Reynolds, Jr., Vice Chairman	
11	Carol G. Dawson D.G. Van Clief, Jr.	
12	Stran L. Trout	
13	COMMISSION STAFF:	
14	Bernard J. Hettel, Executive Secretary David S. Lermond, Jr., Deputy Executive Secretary	
15	Kimberly M. Carter, Office Administrator Joseph M. Rooney, Director of Security & Operations	
16	C. Richard Harden, DVM, Equine Medical Director	
17		
18	ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE	
19	Donald R. Ferguson, Esquire	
20		
21		
22		
23		
24	CAPITOL REPORTING, INC. REGISTERED PROFESSIONAL REPORTERS	
25	(804) 788-4917	

1		A G E N D A	
2		Pa	age
3	1.	Approval of the minutes of the regular meeting of March 27, 2013	3
4	2.	Commissioners' Comments Committee Reports	3
5		a. Racing Safety and Medication Committee b. Breeders Fund Harness Subcommittee	6 28
7	4.	Executive Secretary's Report a. Approval of Racing Officials b. Approval of 2013 Wagering Format c. Approval of Colonial Downs Simulcast Wagering	35 37 38
8		Guest and Host Sites d. Approval of Stewards for 2013 Thoroughbred	40
9 L0		Meet e. HFL SportScience Presentation - Dr. Richard A. Sams, PhD	30
L1	5.	Stakeholders a. SWF: Ownership Change - Finn McCool's	40
L2		Irish Pub b. Colonial Downs Report on Opening of 2013	42
L3	_	Thoroughbred Meet c. Approval of Benevolence Fund for 2013 d. Gold Cup Report - Dr. Will Allison	50 53
L4 L5	6. 7. 8.	Closed Meeting Public Participation Set Next Meeting TBD	59 59 60
L6	9.	Adjournment	61
L7			
L8			
L9			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

1 CHAIRMAN SIEGEL: Ladies and Gentlemen, it's 2 the appropriate time, ten a.m., or a couple minutes after, actually, so we call to order the May meeting 3 4 of the Virginia Racing Commission. 5 The first item on our agenda of minutes from the March 27th meeting, the Commissioners' 6 corrections to those minutes have already been made 7 8 and the new ones are in your book. There's nothing 9 significant in the changes, just one area that was 10 corrected, so I would suggest a motion to approve 11 them. 12 MS. DAWSON: So moved. 13 MR. VAN CLIEF: Second. 14 CHAIRMAN SIEGEL: All in favor? 15 NOTE: The Commissioners vote aye. 16 CHAIRMAN SIEGEL: Approved. 17 Any Commissioners' comments at this point? 18 MS. DAWSON: I do have one. 19 CHAIRMAN SIEGEL: Sure. 20 MS. DAWSON: Just wanted to say that I attended 21 the Gold Cup Race, and it was a marvelous event, and 22 I brought with me this morning the coverage of the 23 chronicle of the horse's story. 24 But the most important thing I think in this

story is the quote from the jockey of the winning

25

1 horse. He was mentioning the --2 CHAIRMAN SIEGEL: Speak up a little bit. a little louder. 3 4 MS. DAWSON: Okay. I just wanted to read the 5 quote from the jockey of the winning horse. He said -- he was referring to the betting. It was the 6 7 first time for that race. 8 He said: When I was coming back up the alley to the winner's circle, people were cheering and 9 10 waving around their winning tickets. Usually at the 11 U.S. jump meets, I'd swear 60 percent of the people 12 can't tell you who's won. This made it mean 13 something to everybody. I could hear the 14 excitement. So it was. It was really a great addition to 15 that traditional event, the betting. 16 17 MR. PETRAMALO: Some of us even had a bet on 18 that winning horse. 19 CHAIRMAN SIEGEL: What's that? 20 MR. PETRAMALO: I said some of us present even 21 had a bet on that winning horse. 22 MS. DAWSON: Congratulations. 23 MR. PETRAMALO: Grinding Speed. 2.4 MR. VAN CLIEF: Mr. Chairman, can I add to 25 that?

1 CHAIRMAN SIEGEL: Sure.

MR. VAN CLIEF: That's a great comment. I had the privilege of being on hand as well and enjoyed the hospitality. It was a beautiful day.

I thought it was a terrific experiment. It seemed obvious to me just looking at the lines to bet that it was popular, and I'm sure that the management team and the board has plans to build on what they did last month, and I'd love to see the proliferation of pari-mutuel wagering with jump racing in the state.

I think it's a great entrance for a lot of new fans, and I hope that you all feel the experience was as good as it looked and that you'll have some more betting terminals there next year.

CHAIRMAN SIEGEL: There's gonna be a discussion or a report, I guess, on the outcome of that event later in the meeting, but I unfortunately had a conflict that day, but I did hear very good things about it, and I would hope to attend next year.

So if there's no other comments from Commissioners, Mr. Reynolds, you had a comment as you came in the room? We are on Commissioners' comments.

MR. S. REYNOLDS: I'm sorry to be late. I need

to pay more attention to the agenda, where it is, and I need to not hit locked doors as I come in.

I'm sure it's in the record.

2.4

CHAIRMAN SIEGEL: Yeah. I'm sure it's in the minutes. Did you get that?

We have a full agenda today, and I think we have some exciting things to talk about. The first would be committee reports. Mr. Van Clief has done a fair amount of work, as has Mr. Reynolds on this committee, the Safety and Medications Committee, so I will ask D.G., I think, please begin reporting.

MR. VAN CLIEF: Okay, I will. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

I will take a few minutes this morning to go over what has transpired and what we've done as a committee since this Commission last met.

The VRC Safety and Medication Committee did meet on the 29th of May. We had most of our committee members present. If any of you are not familiar with that group, I'll be happy to go through it. In general terms, I think it's a good, diversified group of professionals; horsemen, trainers, veterinarians.

The purpose in getting together that day was to consider the Mid-Atlantic race day medication policy

proposal that Bernie reported on at the last Commission meeting.

In broad terms, the proposal, if you'll recall, and I'll ask Bernie to speak to it a little again by way of review, but it seeks to set a uniform race day policy with regards to medication, which is, frankly, in my experience in this industry, revolutionary to get cross-jurisdiction or it won't mean much of anything, much less medication. I think it will be a huge step forward if we achieve this.

So our task when we met with the committee was to go essentially around the table with our committee members, find out what questions might exist with regards to proposed policy, and to get a sense, although we didn't take a formal vote, but to get a good sense of where we stood.

So before going on, I'm going to ask Bernie to take a couple minutes to review that Mid-Atlantic proposal, although we've done it once before. I think it is complicated enough that it bears a little discussion.

Bernie, would you take us through the steps again?

MR. HETTEL: Yes, sir. Just a brief

capitulation. The Mid-Atlantic regulators met with the express intent to talk about uniform medication and drug testing, and later today, Dr. Sams, who is our testing laboratory representative from HFO, will speak to that point.

That's the bigger, stronger point of that initiative, is the standardized testing. Not all matters have the same standard. It is our fervent desire to make sure that all testing remains positive and of excellence.

The other portion of that is the reduction and the control of therapeutic substances in race day medication. Within that group, we started with 24 medications that have been deemed appropriate and therapeutic for the race horse on race day, and they are useful in the treatment of illness and injury, in addition to maintaining the good health of the race horse.

Within that group are specific restrictive administration times and will also require all testing laboratories to be certified and accredited by the RMTC, the very specific standard of conduct and operation.

So within that, if you'd like, D.G., you can go back to your presentation. We have Dr. Sams

available to add any comment.

MR. VAN CLIEF: Thanks, Bernie.

The good news, I think, is that the committee unanimously supports implementing uniform rules and testing procedures, and at a high quality level for those testing procedures. Nonetheless, there were, not surprising, several questions, several of which arose at our last Commission meeting, and we plan to take these questions to a June 10th meeting of regulators to follow up on the policy.

Number one, there was a request for clarification of the penalty system to be applied in case of any infraction. I think Bernie had ongoing comments on those issues.

MR. HETTEL: That would be the substance of the June 10th meeting, and we'll come back with a full report of what was decided in that June 10th meeting.

There has been paperwork already circulated. There was an RCI conference yesterday, as a matter of fact, to discuss that method. Basically, that'll be based on much like a point system on the driver's license where you have a couple, like a violation, you get too many points accumulated, you lose your license for a specific amount of time.

1 CHAIRMAN SIEGEL: Are we having trouble hearing 2 in the back? 3 THE PUBLIC: Yes. 4 CHAIRMAN SIEGEL: Can I just suggest that we 5 move forward? We're pretty intimate. Move the tables forward and pick up the chairs and move. 6 7 We're all among friends here, so let's get a little 8 more intimate. MR. TROUT: Turn down the fan on the air 9 10 conditioner. 11 CHAIRMAN SIEGEL: It would make it hot in here. 12 MR. TROUT: It would make it hot in here. 13 CHAIRMAN SIEGEL: Move a little closer. Fill 14 the seats up in the front part of the room. 15 to interrupt, but I sense that people were straining 16 to listen. 17 MR. VAN CLIEF: Mr. Chairman, would you like me 18 to back up on any of that? Has anybody missed 19 anything we said? 20 There is no response. NOTE: 21 MR. VAN CLIEF: Okay. The clarification of the 22 penalty system is? 23 MR. HETTEL: It's the main agenda for the 2.4 June 10th meeting. Yesterday, there was an RCI 25 conference call with the Mid-Atlantic regulatory

attorneys to decide a point system, and it will be very analogous to the point system for a driver's license. Too many points, you lose your license.

MR. VAN CLIEF: Question Number Two -- These are in no particular order, other than that's the way they were reflected in my notes -- is a question about and a request for clarification of the policy regarding environmental contaminants. We know there are lots of contaminants in the environment and lots of ways those can get into a race horse.

We'd like to pursue this with our colleagues at the regional level and look for a policy that would protect horsemen from environmental positives being called with regards to what are clearly environmental contaminants and threshold levels. If such contaminants are detected, certainly they bear some thought on the part of the Commission.

Number Three, withdrawal times. If we understand the proposal correctly, withdrawal times for a variety of substances as stated in the proposed regs have moved from their former status as a guideline to becoming an absolute, so that if a substance administered with any given withdrawal time, if that can be proven, would become a sanctionable act unto itself. We want to talk to

our colleagues about this and suggest that any penalties be supported by positive testing.

Number Four question is whether or not the current list of allowed therapeutic substances, which numbers now 24 down from around 50, will be and can be, whether it can be changed or expanded on in the future. We believe that it probably will. We'd like clarification on that, and Frank used a good example of medication at the last Commission meeting.

The question was why we have three effective medications and one's cheaper than the other, so if we can use it effectively, cost effectively, why can't we use that anymore. The answer is that you can use it, but you just can't be contaminated on race day.

So we agree that the door should be left open to the change and expansion to that list of 24 therapeutics, but I think everybody agreed that such changes would be substantiated and supported by conclusive science going forward. Frank and I look at that as sort of a change.

Number Five requested that Standardbreds be considered for a slightly different role when it comes to the application of corticosteroids, given

the frequency of their racing schedule as compared to Thoroughbreds. The seven day maximum or minimum period is requested that it be five for Standardbreds, so that they can be in their racing form when it comes to more frequent starts. We felt it was a logical and plan to take that back to the policy process as well.

Lastly, there was a request for further consideration of the policy regarding the administration of race day anti-bleeder medication. Actually, there were sort of two facets to that discussion.

Number one was the use of adjunct medications. To be fair, at least one member of the committee argued that they be used and used effectively without any apparent ill effect, and the other kind of opinion was the strong consensus of the majority of the committee, was the absence of science with regards to the application of adjunct medication.

The number two facet in the discussion was how the actual administration would take place.

Bernie has pointed out that at a short meeting with a limited budget like ours, hiring enough licensed veterinarians to actually go in and administer the Lasix might not be a practical way

for us to approach it.

We think we can meet the goal of seriously limiting access to these race day funds and still have professional administration of medication.

MR. HETTEL: That's essentially correct.

Generally speaking, what I'd envision is our practicing veterinarians will do just that; work and limit their work to race day administration and have our people witness it, a lab tech assistant or a veterinary assistant issue the medication we pay for and then make the charge to the person receiving that.

MR. VAN CLIEF: The whole point of the policy being to limit access to that stall on race day.

MR. HETTEL: Essentially, the practicing veterinarian is out of that stall on race day.

MR. VAN CLIEF: Then from a time line standpoint, given the fact that although there are important questions and we'd like to have input into the policy development process with our fellow regulators, given the general consensus and what I thought was an enthusiastic support level for uniform medications for the Mid-Atlantic region, that I think we can move fairly quickly on this.

As Bernie's already pointed out, there's a

June 10th meeting of Mid-Atlantic regulators. We're gonna take these questions and this input back to them, and if all goes well, we'd like to think -- maybe this is my personal thought, but I'd like to think we can come back with a recommendation, hopefully, to approve a policy or set of policies in line with the Mid-Atlantic region, and that we can do so at the next VRC meeting, which we haven't obviously scheduled yet.

CHAIRMAN SIEGEL: We're gonna do that today, as well as a follow-up meeting.

MR. VAN CLIEF: Mr. Chairman, do I have time for a little --

CHAIRMAN SIEGEL: Sure. Sure. I'd like to also hear from any other members of the committee, or if our guests have comments to make to this effort.

MR. VAN CLIEF: A couple of things have occurred since our committee met to add weight and maybe a little momentum to this.

Number one, I know it is hoped that not only will this become a practical solution for horse racing in the Mid-Atlantic region, but that the Mid-Atlantic region can merge as a leader in developing national uniformity, which we believe

that we absolutely need.

We're under the gun at the federal level, and we're under the gun from a publicity standpoint, and if we could achieve uniformity in a restrictive set of medication policies across the land, we'd be taking a huge step forward, which nobody has been able to do, although many have tried over at least a 30-plus year period.

I don't know how many of you saw this, it's the May 13th Time magazine, and it had an amazing article. It's a multi-page article with all-color photographs on racing, but it's called Twilight at the Track, and the headline reads, Race horses are closing, purses are dwindling and breeders are finding other work.

I'm not gonna read you all of the salient bits in here at this point. I might do it later.

It's a compelling article, and it basically chronicles the downturn in racing, which we're all aware of in terms of our fan base, our annual purses and so forth, all the main business indicators.

One of the things it does point out though, and unfortunately, it mentions in a very generalized way a quote, unquote, culture of drugs in racing, which is, I think, very unfortunate, but there it is, and

it's not surprising, because I know that's how we're looked at as an industry.

However, it does point out as a possible bright light the fact that Mid-Atlantic regulators have gotten together to develop and approve a strict set of medication guidelines to do so with uniform standards across regional boundaries.

So I think if you haven't seen it, try to get your hands on it, or I'll be happy to get copies to everybody.

Another thing that I think is encouraging, is that, obviously, this policy proposal has been disseminated on the national level, as well as the regional level.

Thoroughbred owners in California have at least from what I read in industry publications signed on and are prepared to adopt this policy or something very, very close to it. So I think that's amazing and positive and gives us some momentum.

So my personal hope is that we will be able to move ahead in a forward-going and businesslike way, and also a proper way, to be a part of this leadership effort to develop a policy that I think will benefit all of us, and certainly the horsemen in their day-to-day working lives.

Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

2.4

CHAIRMAN SIEGEL: I just wanted to state the obvious, and that is that this committee, under D.G.'s leadership, is really out front on this issue.

I think I stated at the last Commission meeting that we're a small entity relative to the industry, and we would more likely be a follower than a leader, but I think this has kind of reversed that, and I think people have done a lot of work and people are listening to what we have to say, which I think says a lot for Virginia racing and all the various constituents.

I'd like to also ask at this point if several members of the committee that are here in the room, if you have anything to add to what D.G. has said?

Dr. Harden, you usually have something to opine to. I don't wanna put you on the spot. He's pretty comprehensive with his report.

MR. HETTEL: Mr. Petramalo.

MR. PETRAMALO: Am I being abused today? Yes.

As you all may know, there are three principal horsemen's organizations in the country. There's the HBPA in Lexington. Here in Virginia, we're the affiliate. Then there's the TAJ, which Allen

Foreman is the principal spokesman for. They represent the horsemen in Maryland, Delaware, New York, parts of Illinois, et cetera. They're a little smaller than us. And then there's TOC that D.G. referred to; that's the Thoroughbred Owners of California.

With regard to the penalty section of the Mid-Atlantic program, our CEO, Phil Hanrahan, the national HBPA and I met with Allen to discuss the penalty phase because we had a number of questions, and our goal is to be able to come to some common understanding among the horsemen's groups with regard to the penalty phase, and it seems to me if we can do that, that's three-fourths of the way to the goal line.

With that said, dealing with various horsemen's organizations even within our own HBPA is not an easy task, but we're committed to the notion of uniformity, but the devil is in the details.

One of the most important aspects is the penalty phase, because what's being proposed is not a change to the underlying penalty systems in place in all the states. For example, here in Virginia, we have layed out in our regs what the penalties are for various drug overages.

But what the Mid-Atlantic is doing is proposing an overlay to take care of multiple penalty violations across the country. So if you pick up a violation in Delaware and you come into Virginia, there's a possibility in addition to being penalized under the Virginia statute, there will be an additional multi-penalty violation -- multiple violation penalty that goes on top, and that's what we wanted to talk about.

CHAIRMAN SIEGEL: Robin, do you want to make a comment at this point regarding the issues that you care about? You're certainly welcome to do that.

MS. WILLIAMS: Thank you. I didn't know whether an opportunity would arise.

CHAIRMAN SIEGEL: Well, there's a public comment sort of at the end of the meeting, but I think you're interested in this particular issue.

MS. WILLIAMS: Yes. My comments refer to this.

CHAIRMAN SIEGEL: Yes. So Robin Williams is here, and she's a former member of this Commission and chairman, and is involved nationally a whole lot in Virginia racing and cares about this and other issues.

So Robin, if you'd like to say a few words, you're on.

MS. WILLIAMS: Mr. Chairman, thank you so much for giving me this opportunity, and I'm sorry I have not met all of the current members of the Commission, but I'm Robin Williams. I'm a former member of the Commission. I've been involved in racing regulation nationally through RCI and through development of the national racing license.

I've also been very active with after care and rescue of ex race horses. I co-founded a chapel retirement foundation at one of the prisons and served as the national president.

I just want to add one more reason to have a uniform rule, and that is after care, because a properly constituted and well-enforced medication rule can contribute to a positive resolution of one of the Thoroughbred industry's worst problems, which is what to do with all these ex race horses, and I'm asking for minimalism.

Not gonna get into the weeds on this, but please limit the use of medications that are used to patch up, cover up and somehow squeeze a couple more cheap races out of the horses.

Thousands of horses come off the track every year with no assurance of a safe retirement or a second career. These horses are gonna live another

20 years. We don't want to warehouse these horses. We want to help the industry find a financially workable solution to after care.

I'm here to tell you that solution is to retire horses while they still have some value, and you all through this rule can help make that happen.

Money is the driver here. Ex race horses go to the highest and best use. If they are absolutely broken down and totally used up, they're gonna fall into, I find, the slaughter house, unless they're lucky enough to be rescued, but of course there are limited rescue salons.

But if they can rest for six months and become sound enough to be ridden, then they have value. We can rehabilitate them and they can have a second career, instead of being an expensive, useless, embarrassing bi-product of the Thoroughbred racing and breeding industry.

Rescue organizations are always trying to convince trainers to retire horses one or two races earlier. Don't run that extra race out of a horse. That extra race and related physical toll on a horse's legs could mean the difference between a horse of value as a trail horse or show horse or whatever, than a horse that is nothing but a

financial burden for the next 20 years.

If we can get the horse before his ankles blow up or his tendons break down, we have a shot at rehabbing them. I'm asking you to give trainers the tools they need to care for horses, but please make it real hard for them to use medication instead of rest, and to fake a horse's soundness long enough to grind those last killer races out of them.

Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN SIEGEL: Thank you, Robin. I think most of us in the room have owned horses, race horses or perhaps pleasure horses, and I think Robin's point is a very good one.

I would doubt that you're gonna be able to establish regulations in this regard, but I think whatever we can come up with as a Commission with regard to what we go forward with, at least ought to address this issue and express some concern at the very least, and I just think awareness is key on this particular issue.

Robin's work in Goochland County has received national recognition, and they do a great job with those inmates that are incarcerated, as well as those animals, those horses that reach there, and in some cases, have no opportunity for a second career,

but in many cases there are, and there's an opportunity for inmates to get a second chance and for horses to have that same second chance.

So I'm just speaking as one individual. I think that we ought to at least salute that issue and when we come back --

MR. VAN CLIEF: Mr. Chairman, I agree. I want to thank you, Ms. Williams, too, for taking the time today.

She also made a prior committee meeting to talk about that, and when we talked about the brand of racing or the image we portray to the outside world, this is a really important element of that brand milling. It's one of the things we've been criticized for, as well as failure of medication in the area of after care of our horses, so I think it's a great point.

CHAIRMAN SIEGEL: I think one last comment.

Obviously, these regulations are designed to protect the horse, and are we really protecting the horse with some of the activity we do towards the end of that horse's career? It's worth hearing the remarks.

If anyone else would like to speak on this issue, there is a meeting, of course, on June 10th,

and there will be more dialogue coming back to our next meeting on this.

And again, I'm really proud to be on the front end of this thing, and hopefully our deliberations will impact what happens both in the Mid-Atlantic and nationally.

Again, thanks to D.G. and members of the committee for all their hard work.

MR. TROUT: Mr. Chairman, if I could ask one thing. In the first place, I think it's tremendous progress that we've seen that's been coming up in the past, and is a great direction to go in, both for Virginia and the Mid-Atlantic region.

Do you know about any other regions or parts of the country that are looking to get together or working together with various states and are they in touch with us? Are we in touch with them? It sounds to me like what we're putting together will at least greatly influence what might be a national policy.

MR. HETTEL: Generally, the Mid-Atlantic group is well informed and trades information. I think I'm encouraged by the California Thoroughbred owners that will join in and add some initiative. So we've got both ends of the coast. We've got the east

coast and the west coast, and the great Midwest will join us eventually, Kentucky and those other places,

Indiana, Ohio.

So I'm pretty enthused about this, actually.

In my history of being a regulator, this is about as fast a moving situation as I have experienced.

Normally, this drags on. If you stop to think, our first meeting was in February, Doc, or January --

DR. HARDEN: Yes.

MR. HETTEL: -- to today, and maybe June 10th, that group may be certainly one of the last meetings for us to come back in July and get approval and move on with the process of change.

MR. PETRAMALO: Also, Commissioner Trout, bear in mind what is being done on the Mid-Atlantic is basically being mirrored at the Racing Commission international level, the trade association of all the racing commissions in the country, so they are in the process of putting together model rules, which admittedly they can only recommend to all the states, but their model rule would be identical for the most part to what's going on in the Mid-Atlantic.

MR. TROUT: I appreciate all the work you've been doing. Sounds like this is really going in the

1 right direction, something very positive this 2 Commission and the Commonwealth of Virginia should 3 support any way we can. MS. DAWSON: Mr. Chairman? 4 5 CHAIRMAN SIEGEL: Yes. MS. DAWSON: I want to support what you said 6 7 about Ms. Williams, but on the medical report, I 8 have a couple questions. CHAIRMAN SIEGEL: Speak up. 9 10 MS. DAWSON: Is there a written report of the 11 committee's minutes? 12 MR. VAN CLIEF: Yes, there is. I'll be happy 13 to distribute that, if you'd like. 14 MS. DAWSON: Just yesterday, the RCI sent out their list, medications list, which I printed out, 15 16 which they adopted on April 2nd, so is what our 17 medications we've been discussing any different from 18 this? 19 MR. VAN CLIEF: I haven't seen the most current 20 list from RCI. MR. HETTEL: Let me defer to Dr. Harden and Dr. 21 22 Sams. 23 DR. HARDEN: They are essentially the same. 2.4 MS. DAWSON: So this one, even though it is 25 dated April 2nd --

1 DR. HARDEN: I think the RCI finally adopted 2 that. It was April the 20th, the latter part of April, but that is essentially --3 4 MS. DAWSON: But they adopted it in this form? 5 DR. HARDEN: Yes. CHAIRMAN SIEGEL: Other comments? 6 7 There is no response. NOTE: 8 CHAIRMAN SIEGEL: Okay. The second committee 9 report Carol will give, which is Breeders Fund 10 Harness subcommittee as well. 11 MS. DAWSON: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 12 The members of the Virginia Breeders Fund 13 Standardbred subcommittee met by conference call 14 May 13th and approved the attached proposal on the 15 2013 pending plan. 16 The plan shows very little difference for the 17 past two years, however, it does show less funds 18 available than in the past due to a decline, 19 partially, in wagering on harness racing. 20 The result is a total of approximately 258,000 21 in available funds for the distribution this year. 22 The amount proposed for year-end breeders awards is \$71,000, only slightly more than the awards paid in 23 at the end of 2012. 24

The members of the subcommittee unanimously

25

1	adopted the attached plan.
2	CHAIRMAN SIEGEL: Okay. Thank you. Any
3	comments about that meeting as well?
4	NOTE: There is no response.
5	CHAIRMAN SIEGEL: Okay. Our next item on the
6	agenda is the executive secretary's
7	MR. HETTEL: You have to vote.
8	CHAIRMAN SIEGEL: I'm sorry. Is there a motion
9	to adopt and accept this particular report?
10	MR. HETTEL: So moved.
11	CHAIRMAN SIEGEL: Second?
12	MR. TROUT: Second.
13	CHAIRMAN SIEGEL: All in favor?
14	NOTE: The Commission votes aye.
15	CHAIRMAN SIEGEL: All right. It's approved.
16	Next, we have the executive secretary's report.
17	I'll call on Bernie.
18	First of all, I'd like to thank you, Bernie.
19	He's provided us with refreshments. They have
20	arrived in the back of the room.
21	MR. HETTEL: It's better late than never. If
22	anybody wants to take a half a minute break and get
23	a cup of coffee.
24	CHAIRMAN SIEGEL: Do so on your own. There's
25	coffee and sweets and juice and whatever else.

MR. HETTEL: Really, go.

CHAIRMAN SIEGEL: The sweet tooth people get a little refreshment during or after our meeting.

Bernie, thank you for that, and we'll begin your report.

MR. HETTEL: Okay. If you would, Mr. Chairman, I'd like to go out of order here. I'd like to go to Section E of my report. Dr. Sams of HFL is here, and he's gonna give us a brief update on what our testing lab is up to, and also we'll talk about the accreditation which is necessary for compliance with my aforementioned comments with the Mid-Atlantic proposal.

Dr. Sams.

DR. SAMS: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, Mr. Hettel, members of the Commission. HFL is preparing to test samples received from Virginia. We will conduct the same tests that we did in the past. We will arrange for pick-up and delivery of your samples early Friday morning for delivery to the laboratory on Friday, so that we can begin testing on that date.

The Friday delivery will be for samples collected on Wednesday and Thursday racing, and then we will also arrange for pick-up and delivery of samples early Monday morning, with delivery to the

laboratory early Monday afternoon and begin testing Monday. That will include all of the samples collected from Friday, Saturday and Sunday race days.

We have worked with Mr. Hettel and with Dr. Harden with regard to developing a protocol that describes all of our reporting requirements, all the tests we are performing that describes protocol for handling samples when they arrive in the laboratory, and we have agreed with Mr. Hettel to a modification of the reporting time line, such that those samples collected during the last week of racing for the race meet will be reported -- final reports will be issued no later than five days after receipt of the samples, and that is a departure from what we've done in the past.

Typically, we report samples no later than ten days after receipt, but for that last week of racing, we are going to endeavor to report the final results no later than five days, so that any necessary action can be taken while the racing officials are still available.

Mr. Hettel also referred to the accreditation procedure. RMTC accreditation is a key component of the Mid-Atlantic proposal.

2.4

Each of the racing commissions within the Mid-Atlantic has agreed at least in principle to utilize RMTC accredited laboratories as their official testing laboratory. That program is under way.

HFL SportScience submitted an application to the RMTC for accreditation last summer, has participated in two rounds of proficiency testing, the site has been visited about two weeks ago. The report from that site visit is favorable, and therefore, HFL expects to be one of the first two laboratories accredited by the RMTC; the other laboratory being the UC Davis laboratory.

So my expectation is Virginia testing will be performed by an RMTC-accredited laboratory, and there ought to be notice of that within the next month or two.

CHAIRMAN SIEGEL: Good. Any questions at all with regard to this of Dr. Sams?

MR. PETRAMALO: One of the key issues on uniformity, of course, is making sure that something tested in Virginia is subject to the -- would be subject to the same test if it were in Kentucky or California, et cetera.

With that in mind, Dr. Sams, could you explain

1 a little about the differences between states in 2 testing protocol, the equipment they use and things of that sort, so that we can clearly understand why 3 there is a need for uniformity? 4 DR. SAMS: Yes. The key driver in the RMTC for 5 uniformity is the proficiency testing program. 6 There are two types of proficiency samples. 7 8 As I mentioned, we already participated in two 9 rounds. For those samples, they were received by 10 the laboratory as proficiency samples, clearly 11 labeled as such, and we subjected them to the 12 routine testing that we perform and then reported 13 the results. 14 The other type of proficiency sample is the one 15 that arrives from the racetrack, indistinguishable 16 from an official sample, and gets submitted to the 17 laboratory and tested as it were an official sample 18 without the laboratory knowing that it is a test 19 sample. That's the key driver for ensuring 20 uniformity. 21 Does that makes sense? 22

23

24

25

uniformity.

Does that makes sense?

MR. PETRAMALO: Yeah, yeah. Sure.

DR. SAMS: So at this time, there are enormous differences between laboratory performance. That's driven primarily by what racing commissions are

willing and able to spend on testing.

HFL competes with laboratories that bid \$30 to \$40 per test, per sample. HFL can't get the samples in the laboratory for \$30 to \$40 a sample, and laboratories are still using methodology that was outdated in the 1990s.

But without the Commission specifying the level of testing that they require and the competency that they expect from the laboratory, that practice will continue, and it will continue until all laboratories are required to be accredited by the RMTC and be subjected to the proficiency testing program that I described, and then failing -- if the laboratory fails to perform adequately on the proficiency testing program, it runs the risk of losing accreditation.

I think it's a very useful program that ultimately will assure uniformity across state lines from one Commission to another.

There will be costs associated with that, because those Commissions that are spending \$40 to \$50 per sample today are not going to be able to continue to pay those kinds of fees for testing, as their laboratory will have to modify its test procedures in order to gain accreditation and keep

1 accreditation. MR. PETRAMALO: Basically, you get what you pay 2 for? 3 4 That's essentially the case. 5 MR. PETRAMALO: Thank you. DR. SAMS: You're welcome. 6 7 CHAIRMAN SIEGEL: And technology has changed 8 such over the years that I would imagine procedures that were done in the 90s aren't sufficient for 9 10 today's needs, so that, I think, is important. 11 Any other comments, questions with regard to 12 Dr. Sams? 13 MS. DAWSON: I just have one question. Talking 14 about technology and advancement, is it now possible to detect much smaller amounts of drugs in a test? 15 16 DR. SAMS: Yes, Commissioner Dawson. 17 methodology that we're using to be able to detect 18 concentrations that are substantially lower than we 19 were able to detect just a short time ago, and in 20 many cases, the methodology that we are using is 21 greater sensitivity than the ELISA tests that were 22 introduced in the 80s and 90s that lowered the 23 limits of detection considerably. 24 So the increased sensitivity of detection 25 drives the need for thresholds for therapeutic

1 substances so they are not detected for 2 extraordinarily long periods of time long after they have ceased to produce any effect. 3 4 MR. PETRAMALO: You can routinely test to about 5 a trillionth of gram or even more, correct? DR. SAMS: Depending upon the substance, yes. 6 7 MR. PETRAMALO: A billionth of a nanogram --8 for example, our threshold here in Virginia for 9 Banamine, what do you call it, Flunixin, was 20 10 nanograms. A nanogram is a billionth of a gram. 11 the life of someone who is 32 years old, that's one 12 second. That's how sophisticated the testing is. 13 CHAIRMAN SIEGEL: Okay. Other comments at all? 14 There is no response. NOTE: 15 CHAIRMAN SIEGEL: Bernie, do you want to 16 continue with your report? 17 MR. HETTEL: Yes, sir. To continue on, Mr. 18 Chairman, I call on Tyler Picklesimer -- it's in 19 your booklet. 20 MR. PICKLESIMER: Pretty much everybody had 21 been back returning from previous years. We had one 22 official, Bruce (inaudible) was offered and accepted 23 the racing secretary's position in (inaudible) Park, 24 and he's actually there now, I believe. He is gonna 25 take Steve's place in Denver for Colonial Downs, I

1	think, and he's supported Maryland. Jeff Reidell,
2	on the original list, he has some family issues.
3	He's not gonna be able to attend, so we hired
4	Natalie (inaudible). She's from the fairgrounds,
5	and actually she's a lawyer that's done pro bono
6	work and I guess she's teaching in Saratoga, so
7	we've got competent, experienced officials. We're
8	in good shape.
9	MR. HETTEL: We need a motion to approve.
10	CHAIRMAN SIEGEL: We do. Any question or
11	comments?
12	NOTE: There is no response.
13	CHAIRMAN SIEGEL: This of course needs approval
14	from this Commission, so I'll ask for a motion to do
15	so as amended by Tyler.
16	MR. VAN CLIEF: I move we approve the amended
17	list.
18	CHAIRMAN SIEGEL: Second?
19	MR. TROUT: Second.
20	CHAIRMAN SIEGEL: Okay. All in favor?
21	NOTE: The Commission votes aye.
22	CHAIRMAN SIEGEL: Okay. We are approved.
23	MR. HETTEL: Next on the agenda is the approval
24	of wagering format. Jeff Wingrove is here to tell
25	us about that.

1 MR. WINGROVE: The wagering format this year 2 remains the same as last year. 3 CHAIRMAN SIEGEL: It's in your report? MR. WINGROVE: It sure is. 4 5 CHAIRMAN SIEGEL: The wagering format again needs to be approved, and it's identical to what we 6 7 did last year, so I'll ask for a motion there as 8 well. MR. S. REYNOLDS: So moved. 9 10 MS. DAWSON: Second. 11 THE COURT: All in favor? 12 NOTE: The Commission votes aye. 13 CHAIRMAN SIEGEL: All right. 14 MR. HETTEL: Next, Mr. Chairman, is seeking 15 approval of simulcast wagering guest and host sites. 16 Mr. Wingrove again. 17 MR. WINGROVE: This is a list of all the sites 18 that we send our signals to, and the sites that are 19 not listed on that current form, Mr. Lermond and the 20 VHBPA will have to grant approval for. We do have an additional, one additional, and I will speak with 21 22 them. I just got the contract this morning. 23 CHAIRMAN SIEGEL: Okay. Questions with regard to the sites for simulcasting? 2.4 25 MR. VAN CLIEF: Yes, Mr. Chairman.

1	questions. Number one is, obviously, it's a very
2	extensive list. Will you in fact be able to sell
3	the signal to all of these; if not, what percentage?
4	Can you give an idea of where it will go and when?
5	The second question is: Have there been any
6	issues with HBPA or anybody else with regards to
7	distribution of any of these sites?
8	MR. WINGROVE: There have not, and that list is
9	pretty extensive. We sent the contract to all of
10	them. Not always do we get one back, however, I'd
11	say probably the 90 percent range is accurate, so
12	it's a good distribution.
13	CHAIRMAN SIEGEL: Ninety percent of this group,
14	likely?
15	MR. WINGROVE: Likely. Yes, sir.
16	MR. VAN CLIEF: Thank you.
17	MR. WINGROVE: You're welcome.
18	CHAIRMAN SIEGEL: Okay. Other comments?
19	NOTE: There is no response.
20	CHAIRMAN SIEGEL: Do we have a motion to
21	approve?
22	MR. VAN CLIEF: So moved.
23	CHAIRMAN SIEGEL: Second?
24	MS. DAWSON: Second.
25	CHAIRMAN SIEGEL: All in favor, say aye.

1	NOTE: The Commission votes aye.
2	CHAIRMAN SIEGEL: Okay. We are approved.
3	Again, the stewards. Approval of the stewards for
4	this meet.
5	MR. HETTEL: Yes, sir. Stewards seek approval
6	for (inaudible) who is returning, (inaudible)
7	Herbstreet, who is returning from last year, and a
8	new steward, Michael Pearson.
9	CHAIRMAN SIEGEL: All right. Comments?
10	NOTE: There is no response.
11	CHAIRMAN SIEGEL: Okay. If not, motion to
12	approve?
13	MR. S. REYNOLDS: Approved.
14	CHAIRMAN SIEGEL: Second?
15	MS. DAWSON: Second.
16	MR. TROUT: Second.
17	CHAIRMAN SIEGEL: All in favor?
18	NOTE: The Commission votes aye.
19	MR. HETTEL: That concludes my report, Mr.
20	Chairman.
21	CHAIRMAN SIEGEL: You're done completely? All
22	right. Moving to stakeholders. I believe, Mr.
23	Weinberg, you want to talk about change?
24	MR. WEINBERG: I believe you have received in
25	your package, there's a letter that consolidated a

1 number of discussions --CHAIRMAN SIEGEL: Behind Tab Six. 2 MR. WEINBERG: -- that were ongoing, and just 3 4 wanted to make sure that everything was concentrated in one place for posterity, in the event issues ever 5 arose in the future. 6 7 Simply stated, the ownership of the restaurant 8 where Colonial Downs is operating a satellite 9 wagering facility near Innsbrook has changed from 10 Finn McCool's to Hurley's Bar and Grill. 11 The operation is substantially the same. 12 layout is slightly different, as noted in the 13 letter, and I have received -- have yet to receive 14 reports on how that facility is doing, so after a 15 brief hiatus and change, it is back in operation. 16 CHAIRMAN SIEGEL: This is simply a change in 17 ownership of that particular establishment, and it 18 appears that it's improving, hopefully for business. 19 Comments or questions there? 20 MR. TROUT: One quick question. Is the 21 contract with the new owner essentially the same as 22 what was there with the old owner? 23 MR. WEINBERG: It's essentially the same. Ι

have not compared the economics.

MR. TROUT: Not something you have to worry

24

1 about?

MR. WEINBERG: The new agreement is based substantially on the old agreement.

CHAIRMAN SIEGEL: Okay. Your report on the opening of the meet, Ian or Jim? Who is going to talk about that?

MR. STUART: Open Saturday, June the 8th, and like Darryl just talked about. And Tyler?

MR. PICKLESIMER: We've had a pretty good response with stall applications, about 1,000 horses. We have roughly 1,000 stalls. By the time you back out stalls, we are pretty much full.

We've got close to 850 that say they are coming. The next step sequentially is getting them here. Everybody comes, we should have a pretty full barn. A lot of regulars are coming back; Ferris Allen, Emmett Smith. We've got new outfits and a lot of the regulars have supported us over the years, so it should be the racetrack's open. We have about 150 horses on the back side training, start racing, start taking entries next Wednesday, and it should be a pretty good meet.

MR. WOOD: From a promotional standpoint, we've got pretty much -- that's scheduled all six weekends for racing. Unfortunately, we don't for the fiscal

year, we don't have a horse going for the Triple
Crown on our opening. We had sort of counted on
that a little bit, but that's not the case. Looks
like the Derby and Preakness horse winner will go
against each other.

Families have been a big growth point for us over the past three or four years. Every Sunday, we do a family four pack type deal that gives them hot dogs and soft drinks, admission.

Our two big family days are Father's Day.

Bringing back ostrich races, the camel races this

year, which was a big hit last year. And July 4th,

of course with the fireworks and racing, as always,

a big attendance day.

We've got for the first time, three different tailgating events this year. Two of them are drive-in tailgates. One is gonna be tied with a big corn hole tournament on June 29th. Cars roll into the green area, tailgate, play corn hole, get the races.

And the other one on Virginia Derby day. In the far turn, you can drive your car in there, tailgate, bet on horses. We have a mini Jumbotron out there as well.

The third tailgate is gonna be a walk-in

tailgate on Military and First Responders

Appreciation Day as part of the July 4th weekend;

soft-sided coolers with picnic food, beverages.

We're going to recognize Sheldon Russell with a giveaway. He's been our leading jockey the last two years. We have kind of a donkey figurine we are going to give out.

Experience-wise, we're gonna offer tram rides again between the races, give people the chance to circle the dirt track, give them a little talk about what racing is about, what the facilities are like.

We're gonna let people go to the infield viewing deck for free this year after every race so they can see a close-up finish on the turf course, as much or as little as they like.

Then we also offer an inside track tour of the back stretch and Stephanie's barn, and then we bring them to the starting gate to see the starting of the race up close.

So three different kinds of experiential-type things they can do.

We're doing a little bit more for the simulcast players around the country this year. We partnered with the HBPA, entered into a partnership with a daily racing forum. A lot more online and hard copy

1 presence.

They're gonna have a couple handicappers out here on Turf Cup day to give a seminar, and we're doing a partnership with that place as well in a similar fashion, and we've got an online handicapping contest promoting DRF, and hopefully develop more of a following among the simulcast players throughout the meet. So kind of a quick snapshot.

MR. STUART: I think the other thing fans will notice is we have a new ticketing system. We are using scanners, gives us a much more accurate count of how many people are actually in the building.

Also enables us to control sales in the Jockey Club where you select your table online, so it's gonna be an upgrade.

CHAIRMAN SIEGEL: The scanners are wireless?

MR. STUART: They are.

CHAIRMAN SIEGEL: So one can meander through the crowd and take bets or strictly at the window?

MR. STUART: No. This is admissions.

CHAIRMAN SIEGEL: Oh, I'm sorry. I beg your pardon. I thought you were talking about wagers.

MR. STUART: We do use wireless scanners on race days, but this is strictly admissions.

1 CHAIRMAN SIEGEL: Any questions? 2 There is no response. NOTE: CHAIRMAN SIEGEL: I'd just like to ask a 3 4 question of Tyler. You seem pretty optimistic about the number of horses that will come and the amount 5 of races and your ability to fill those races, given 6 7 the fewer days by about 25 percent this year. 8 Do you still remain optimistic that you will be able to fill these cards? 9 MR. PICKLESIMER: I think we'll do fine. 10 11 shorter meet allows the race to go from 23,000 last 12 year to 30,000 this year. I think that will be 13 special. 14 CHAIRMAN SIEGEL: Sounds great. 15 MR. PETRAMALO: The other thing to bear in mind 16 is Colonial is running a shuttle this year from 17 Maryland, meaning they will pick up horses at Bowie 18 and Laurel on race day, bring them here and then 19 being them back, and the charge round trip is only 20 That, I think, should substantially boost the 21 number of Maryland folks. 22 CHAIRMAN SIEGEL: I think we've done that 23 before. 24 MR. PETRAMALO: Six or eight years ago. 25 CHAIRMAN SIEGEL: That's well marketed up

1 there? 2 MR. PETRAMALO: They know it. MR. PICKLESIMER: We're running five days 3 4 during -- maybe three or four races more per week 5 than we did last year, so we should -- all said and done, it's good. 6 7 CHAIRMAN SIEGEL: Great. That's good news. 8 Any other comment on the upcoming meet? 9 MR. VAN CLIEF: One question, Mr. Chairman. 10 Given the sort of environment, generally, of the 11 sports events, will there be any changes, 12 differences in security protocol front side, back 13 side? 14 MR. ROONEY: I apologize. I could not hear the 15 question. 16 MR. VAN CLIEF: Given the current environment, 17 are there any differences in your security protocol 18 this year to secure the grounds? 19 MR. ROONEY: On the back side, because it's, 20 you know, it's regulated more than the front side, 21 of course, not really on the back side. 22 On the front side, we again don't allow, unlike 23 other tracks, we don't allow people to bring coolers 24 and things in. Now when we do the tailgating and 25 stuff, we actually check the coolers as they come

1 in. Those type of things, just a quick look in 2 those type of things, just to make sure, but substantially not. You won't see a huge change in 3 4 that. 5 MR. VAN CLIEF: Thank you. CHAIRMAN SIEGEL: Any other questions or 6 7 comments? 8 There is no response. NOTE: CHAIRMAN SIEGEL: Jim, since this Commission 9 10 obviously has to grant whatever licenses are coming 11 before us, there's been some press of late with 12 regard to the possibility of Colonial being sold. 13 I'd like to get an update from the Commission on 14 what, if anything, is going on. MR. STUART: I think there's a couple things 15 16 that everybody needs to be aware of, at least before 17 you evaluate what you read in the newspaper. 18 First, Mr. Jacobs is currently an 18 percent 19 shareholder. He's the largest single shareholder. 20 The transaction that you read about in the paper 21 would be an exchange of his stock in Jacobs 22 Entertainment for more NTR stock. 23 So if the transaction as described in the 24 newspaper was to take place, he would now own

somewhere in the neighborhood of 60 percent of NTR

1

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Gaming. So yes, Colonial Downs is being sold.

CHAIRMAN SIEGEL: Well, you sort of reported what the paper said, and you cannot always believe what you see in the paper, but my question was I guess is there any update to apparently what was said in the newspaper?

MR. STUART: No.

CHAIRMAN SIEGEL: The newspaper says that Mr. Jacobs had made an unsolicited offer to them, and at this point, that has not moved down the road any further than the newspaper?

MR. STUART: My understanding is that the MTR board of directors has hired an investment banking firm to advise them as to what they should do with Mr. Jacobs's offer. Other than that, I don't know.

CHAIRMAN SIEGEL: You'll keep us advised?

MR. WEINBERG: Just to be clear, from the Commission's point of view, obviously, if there is a five percent principal shareholder in Colonial Downs, it requires the Commission's approval, so if the transaction were to move forward, I think that would be the next step for the Commission's involvement under the change of ownership provisions and statute and regulations.

CHAIRMAN SIEGEL: Right. Bernie and I

discussed this, and we agree with that interpretation. We just need to be kept updated.

MR. STUART: Well, to be totally clear, there is absolutely no guarantee this transaction is taking place.

CHAIRMAN SIEGEL: No. Of course not. Just wanted to be clear on that. Thanks for that piece of information.

Next we have the approval of the Benevolence Fund, and Frank, you want to present that?

MR. PETRAMALO: Yes, Mr. Chairman. We presented a back stretch budget this year of \$110,000. Now let me quickly add this is a back stretch budget for both Thoroughbred and harness meet. We have done this in the past.

The budget is down about 20,000 from last year to reflect the fact that we have a shorter meet, but the individual line items are basically the same in terms of what we provide; meal tickets for people working on the back side redeemable in the track kitchen.

We have a 12-person van that we rent to carry folks to shopping centers, entertainment. We also buy mattresses and air conditioners for the dorms. They have a number of them that have to be replaced

every year.

One of the main programs we're gonna run this year on the back side in the way of education is a special trainer test preparation class. Last year, we ran a six-week groom class, which basically two days a week brought grooms into our building for a combination of classroom instruction, and then instruction in the barn to upgrade their skills.

We don't run that every year. We kind of rotate. This year for the first time, we will be running a three-day preparatory class for assistant trainers or grooms or exercise riders or anyone who would like to take the test to become licensed by the VRC as a trainer.

That process is basically two-fold. There's a practical test given in the barn to an individual to make sure the person can tack a horse, bandage a horse, et cetera, and for the last several years, we have employed an expert, one Stephanie Nixon, to do the barn test, and then the more important part of the test is administered by the stewards. It's a written test.

So over a three-day period, we have a Dr. Reid McLellan coming from our national headquarters to give instruction and basically how to study for and

pass the written portion of the exam. That's the major difference this year from last year.

2.4

Also, we are once again sponsoring on

June 18th, a benefit golf tournament for the

disabled jockey fund. That about wraps it up. That

adds up to 110,000 when you include the Standardbred

program.

CHAIRMAN SIEGEL: All right. Questions or discussion regarding Frank's report?

MR. VAN CLIEF: Just a clarification. Is the Jockey Club premium, does that go into the Jockeys Guild?

MR. PETRAMALO: No. No. Colonial Downs buys insurance coverage. I forget who the carrier is. Last year, it may have been Nationwide. I'm not positive.

Commissioner Van Clief, here's the history.

When the Jockey Guild fell on hard times because of management problems, the jockeys were not covered by anything other than the minimal \$50,000 policy, so probably six or seven years ago, together with Colonial Downs, we agreed to purchase a policy with limits I think of a \$1 million, something like that.

So we've over the years helped Colonial pay the

1 premium, and that's what that line item is for. 2 CHAIRMAN SIEGEL: Questions? Comments? There is no response. 3 NOTE: 4 CHAIRMAN SIEGEL: This of course requires 5 action of this Commission, so I'll ask for approval of the Benevolence Fund report. 6 7 MR. VAN CLIEF: So moved. 8 CHAIRMAN SIEGEL: Second? MS. DAWSON: Second. 9 10 THE COURT: All in favor? 11 NOTE: The Commission votes aye. 12 CHAIRMAN SIEGEL: The ayes have it. 13 All right. We are now gonna hear a little bit 14 of positive information, I think, from the Gold Cup. 15 Dr. Allison, are you gonna report on the outcome of 16 the Gold Cup? 17 DR. ALLISON: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. We were 18 very blessed. We had an outstanding race day. 19 had over 65,000 people, which was the largest number 20 of people to ever attend our races. 21 CHAIRMAN SIEGEL: We can't hear you. 22 DR. ALLISON: I'm old. I'll get a little 23 closer. Anyway, outstanding races. Over 65,000 24 people were in attendance, and checking our aerial 25 photographs back to the first race meet, it was by

far the largest.

Surveys have shown that the interest in parimutuel betting was one of the factors that encourage people to come, so that again is a very --

CHAIRMAN SIEGEL: What's a typical crowd?

There were 65,000 this year. What's a typical crowd?

DR. ALLISON: Typical crowd before then was 50, so it was a very significant increase.

The betting was a great success, and it has been reported in the papers that people stood in line every race and the lines were 50 yards or more. We would go visit with the people in line and they have a little libation in their hand, and they seemed to be socializing and not the least bit perturbed.

David warned us that we didn't have enough stations, but United Tote was limited technically by the coaxial cable and the amount of electricity to provide us.

But at our meet, we had a company that will hopefully provide us with Wi-Fi for the fall race, and the proposal will be coming to us this week.

But my boarders already approved this concept, and it will allow us to have the smart phone and we

will continue to have the stations as well, but we'll be able to enlarge them, and because of our history of the betting patterns of our public, we will know better how to situate them so we can help alleviate those long lines.

The thing that pleases us was this great interest by the public, and it was noticed by jockeys, by everybody, that it made people more interested in the races, so that was pleasing.

For the coming races, we intend to establish Virginia-bred preferred for all our races, and we are hopeful that this will help our Commonwealth and its horse industry.

We are working to have a Virginia-bred race only in the fall, and we're working with Frank and his good group and with the Virginia Horse Industrial Board, so by putting on that race, again, it is to stimulate interest in the Virginia-bred horse.

The success of our race was due to a number of things, but especially to the staff of the Virginia Racing Commission, and we had Mr. Hettel, who was Cool Hand Luke, who kept us from falling into potholes, and certainly Dave helped us tremendously.

Our dear friend, Mr. Rooney, had security

everywhere, security in our stable area, security in our judge key area, security on the course itself, and this was much appreciated by the whole racing community. They said how smoothly things ran.

And then we have to mention Dr. Harden, who brought our veterinary world back into the 21st century, thank you, testing. And our stable area was perfectly done, so thank you so much for your support.

CHAIRMAN SIEGEL: Okay. Good. Questions of Dr. Allison? Questions?

NOTE: There is no response.

CHAIRMAN SIEGEL: So it went well, and obviously, there's some adjustments and improvements in the wagering effort that will take place, hopefully by the fall meet.

DR. ALLISON: Yeah. So we hope to correct all that.

CHAIRMAN SIEGEL: I would think that the success that you've been able to achieve, even greater than what you previously -- your previous success has been getting 65,000 people out there, and having that success, I would think that the Gold Cup would be very cooperative with Colonial, for example, in helping to maybe get some of these

1 65,000 people to come to Richmond for the meet. 2 Have you worked with them at all, Darryl? MR. WOOD: We worked prior, but haven't talked 3 4 since then. CHAIRMAN SIEGEL: I think willing to do so 5 would allow you in some ways to help both our meets. 6 7 DR. ALLISON: We were delighted. We think we 8 have perfected many steps on how to attract people 9 to come to our race meet. 10 And you have to spend a little money. We spent 11 over \$75,000 a race in our public relations, and 12 this is, you know, getting the word out in every 13 publication that is related, you know, to imitating, 14 and it is something. We are glad to share it with 15 you. 16 CHAIRMAN SIEGEL: We'll have to do some joint 17 things together, and Colonial can have a presence 18 out there, perhaps to promote our meets. 19 MR. PETRAMALO: I'd like to commend Dr. Allison 20 and Mike Pearson and the whole Gold Cup Association 21 for putting on a wonderful show. It was 22 spectacular, and of course a good portion of that 23 success was due to your staff. Everything ran 24 smoothly.

It was an outstanding day of racing, and from a

1 personal standpoint, Chairman Siegel, I will tell 2 you that handicapping was like shooting fish in a barrel. 3 4 CHAIRMAN SIEGEL: I'll go with you next. have not had much success. 5 MR. PETRAMALO: It was a wonderful day of 6 7 It could not have been better. racing. 8 DR. ALLISON: I did want to recognize my aide 9 de camp, Mike Pearson, who again, guided me. 10 knows everyone in the game and helped me make these 11 contacts. Now, he has an uncle who is a legend, most 12 13 outstanding fox hunting man in the history of America. More books have been written about Melvin 14 Poe than any hunting man living or dead, and he is 15 16 in the Hall of Fame over in Morvin Park. 17 I was talking to him recently about Mike, and 18 his comment was, well, he's pretty smart for a 19 country boy from Virginia. 20 CHAIRMAN SIEGEL: Thank you, Dr. Allison. 21 Mike, thank you as well. 22 Any other comments before we move on? 23 MS. DAWSON: Just one. Express the 2.4 appreciation of this body to the members of the 25 Commission staff for doing such a great job.

1 CHAIRMAN SIEGEL: Good. Thank you to you, 2 Dave, and Dr. Harden, and everyone else involved. There will be no need for a closed meeting 3 4 today, but I will move on to ask if there's anyone from the public that would like to make a comment. 5 Public participation at this time. 6 7 There was no response. NOTE: 8 CHAIRMAN SIEGEL: Okay. Hearing none, we'll 9 set the next meeting. I have a suggested date for 10 the next meeting. Bernie and I went over our calendars yesterday, and we will not have a June 11 12 meeting, but a July meeting, and we're looking at 13 July 10th as a possibility. 14 Happens to be a race day, and my suggestion is if the date works, we could meet in the afternoon 15 16 and then stay for racing. 17 Does that date work with everyone? That's July 18 10th. It is a Wednesday, and I will suggest that we 19 meet at 3:30. Gives us plenty of time to get the 20 business done, and then enjoy the races. I think 21 the post time is six. 22 MR. HETTEL: We'll be at the old location 23 because they'll need this room.

CHAIRMAN SIEGEL: We'll go back to home base

for the next meeting. Does that date work?

24

1 NOTE: There is a positive response. 2 Works every time. CHAIRMAN SIEGEL: Great. 3 That was too easy. MR. HETTEL: 4 CHAIRMAN SIEGEL: Okay. So it is 3:30 on the 5 back side on July the 10th. It is not anticipated that we'll have an August 6 7 meeting, but we have a suggested date for a 8 September meeting, which happens to fall during the Standardbred meet, which is Wednesday again, 9 10 September 25th. We would meet at eleven o'clock, 11 and post time is at one, so we could set the meeting 12 for eleven, probably, and have time enough or do 13 10:30, but I think eleven is time enough. 14 MR. HETTEL: Plenty of time. Yes, sir. CHAIRMAN SIEGEL: How does that date work? 15 16 MS. DAWSON: Is that a Wednesday? 17 CHAIRMAN SIEGEL: That's a Wednesday, September 18 25th. 19 MR. S. REYNOLDS: That's good. 20 CHAIRMAN SIEGEL: So we'll set that again on the back side at eleven o'clock on the 25th. 21 22 In my discussion with Bernie yesterday, we 23 decided that we would try to sort of, as we once 24 did, set the dates going forward on for the entire 25 year as far as we could go, so that everyone would

have them on their calendars.

As we have done in the past, we can alter the days as we go along if we need to, if any of the constituents is having a problem.

One of the factors in that Bernie and I discussed some key day dates for the Colonial as well as VHBPA and Standardbred folks in terms of approvals of race days and anything else that would need to come before the Commission, so I asked Bernie to get together with the various entities and see if there are some dates that might effect our schedule, if everybody understands what I'm saying.

So we don't have to do that now, but Bernie will be in contact with you and we will hopefully set these dates out further out in advance than we are currently doing.

Is there any other business to come before the Commission today?

> There is no response. NOTE:

CHAIRMAN SIEGEL: If not, we are adjourned.

21

22

23

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

The meeting is adjourned at 11:21 a.m.

2.4

CERTIFICATE OF COURT REPORTER

I, Sandra G. Spinner, hereby certify that having first been duly sworn, I was the Court Reporter at the meeting of the Virginia Racing Commission at the time of the hearing herein.

Further, that to the best of my ability, the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings herein.

Given under my hand this 6th day of July, 2013.

SANDRA G. SPINNER

COURT REPORTER